

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,254 02/20/2004		02/20/2004	Jack Bech Nielsen	10168.204-US	1411	
25908	7590	01/26/2006		EXAMINER		
		RTH AMERICA	TRAN LIEN, THUY			
500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YO	RK, NY	10110	1761			
				DATE MAN ED. 01/0/000/		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/783,254	NIELSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lien T. Tran	1761		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Lien T. Tran	1761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•	- 61:bisba	:-latas					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<u> </u>	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 January 2006. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of							
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a))	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	ismissal of the					
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		educing or simplifying	g the issues for					
appeal; and/or		signatural plaiman						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ejecteu ciaims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)					
		omphant Amendmen	i (i 10L-32+).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a								
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered or b) □ v	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		viii be chiered and an	CAPIGNATION					
Claim(s) allowed. <u>none.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	b É Ab d. Ab £ £ilim	NI_4:						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper							
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.		atent	Lan					
		LIEN TRAN						
		Q						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues alpha amylase, maltogeni alpha amylase and pullulanase are different classes of enzyme with different action patterns and different end product. This argument is not persuasive. The claims are directed to a method of making an edible product wherein the raw material is treated with the enzymes. Maselli et al disclose the same process. Maltogenic alpha amylase is a species within the alpha amylase enzyme; it can generate maltose; however, regular apha amylase can also generate maltose. Thus, there is not much difference in function between the two enzymes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art select any species within the class of enzyme. Applicant has not shown criticality or unexpected result with respect to the maltogenic alpha amylase..

Continuation of 13. Other: The 112 first paragraph rejection of claim 24 is maintained for reason of record...